Statistical Characterization of the Indoor MIMO Channel Based on LOS/NLOS Measurements*

Thomas Svuiztesson and Jon Wallace1

Department **of** Electrical and Computer Engineering Brigham **Young** IJniversity, Provo, UT 84602-4099 E-mail: tomaso@ee.byu.edu

Abstract

Measurentenrs raken ar the campus of *Brigham Young University* (BYU) are used to investigate the statistical proper*ties* **of** *the indoor MIMO channel. The Ricean K poranzeter is estimated along a path in both LOS and NLOS. These values are related ro the received power and the spatial spectrum calculated using the conventional beamforme,: Furrlzerninre. .sratistical fesrs are applied* to *investigate if the data belongs ro a ntultivanate nonnal distribution. It* **is fotrrid** *rlzat rhe univariare statistics can be appmxiniated by a complex normal distribution but only small MIMO systems may be approximated as multivariate normally distributed.*

1. Introduction

The wireless communications industry has experienced an explosive growth the last decades. However, the available spectrum for wireless communications has not grown at the same rate. Increased cost of acquiring spectrum to accommodate users has resulted in a increased interest in spectrum efficient techniques. One of the most promising spec**trum** efficient techniques is Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MlMO) systems that employ multiple transmit and receive antennas. These systems exploit the spatial dimension to a larger extent than previous systems and have been shown to be capable of supporting very high data rates without increasing the bandwidth [2].

Since these MIMO systems rely more **on** the spatial characteristics than previous systems, obtaining knowledge of the channel is of great importance. Several indoor MIMO measurement campaigns have recently been reported in the literature [4, 7, 9, 10]. Most of these measurements were collected at different locations and the combined statistics

of all these measurements were studied. However, by combining measurements for different locations, the resulting channel characteristics may differ from those of the local environment. This paper avoids those issues by using highly oversampled measurements of the MIMO channel matrix when moving along corridors. With **up** to a hundred samples per wavelength, it is possible to address issues such **as** coherence distances of MIMO measurements and the statistical properties of the local area (fast-fading).

Furthermore, the Ricean K parameter is estimated from measurement data and its value along a measurement path **is** studied. These **K** values are also related to the directional information offered by applying the conventional beamformer. Finally, formal statistical hypothesis tests are used to investigate whether the data belongs to a normal distribution. Tests for both UniVariate Normality (WN) and MultiVariate Normality (MVN) indicate that although the individual channel coefficients **are** close to normal, the overall MIMO system may nct be MVN distributed.

2. Measurement Setup

A narrowband custom made MIMO communications system designed and built at Brigham Young University (BYU) in Utah was **used** to collect measurements. The system was equipped with ten monopoles forming a uniform circular **ar**ray at each end. However, since the elements were mounted over a ground plane the monopoles behave **as** dipoles and essentially have the same radiation patterns as dipoles. Furthermore, the elements were positioned in a circle with radius 0.86 wavelengths that approximately gives an element separation of a half wavelength. The operating frequency was **2.43GHz.** For a detailed description of the measurement equipment, see *[9].*

Measurements were collected within the Clyde building at the BYU campus. The sampling rate was set to 2.5ms in order to get a highly oversampled channel with many samples per wavelength. With a walking speed of 1m/s this results in about 50 samples per wavelength which is enough

^{&#}x27;This **work** was **supporrcd in** pan **by** the National Science **Foundation** under Wireless Initiative *Grant* **CCR-9979452 and** *Information* **Technology** Research **Grant CCR-0081416.**

[†] Jon Wallace is currently visiting The Vienna University of Technol**ogy. Austria.**

Figure 1: Layout of the fourth floor of the Clyde building with the measurement paths indicated.

to study the local area statistics.

Measurements were oollected in both Non Line Of Sight (NLOS) and Line Of Sight (LOS) to study the difference in statistics between the two different environments. **A** layout of the floor plan of the fourth floor of the Clyde building is shown in Figure **1.** In all the measurements, the transmitter was stationary while the receiver was moved. The first measurement scenario represents a situation where the receiver first is in NLOS and moves into LOS and then moves into NLOS again. See Figure **1,** where the transmitter position is indicated by Txl and the receiver path is indicated by Rxl. Another measurement was performed for the application *of* a statistical test for MVN since **this** test requires data that is more stable than the data obtained by moving in and out of LOS. Hence, the second measurement scenario represents a path completely in NLOS. This scenario is also indicated in Figure 1.

3. Measurement Results

3.1. Normalization

Before any analysis of the measurements is possible, it is necessary to establish a reasonable normalization of the measurements. Several different normalization have been suggested in the literature. Previous studies of Single-Input Single-Output (SISO) channels often used a running mean or running median normalization 181. However, it is not trivial to choose the length of the averaging window. Depending on the scenario, window lengths between 2λ and 64λ have been used [8]. In this paper, another approach is used that takes advantage of the MIMO property of the measurements. The channel matrix is simply normalized by the

local average obtained by calculating the average channel coefficient magnitude for each position. Consider a MIMO system with N_t transmit antennas and N_r receive antennas. If the unnormalized $N_r \times N_t$ matrix of channel coefficients is denoted **H,** the normalized channel matrix **H** is obtained as

$$
\mathbf{H} = \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}/\eta = \frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}}{\frac{1}{N_t N_r} \sum_{k=1}^{N_r} \sum_{l=1}^{N_t} |\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{k,l}|},
$$
(1)

where η is the average magnitude of the channel coefficients **H,,.** This normalization is a localized version of the **run**ning mean normalization and represents the local average over the array aperture which is about $1.7\lambda \times 1.7\lambda$. It is similar to but not the same as the traditional normalization in MIMO literature $||H||_F = \sqrt{N_r N_t}$ where $|| \cdot ||_F$ denotes the Frobenius matrix norm. The reason for choosing this normalization is to reduce the impact of large values by avoiding the squared magnitudes that the Frobenius norm employs.

In Figure 2, the average magnitude η is shown for the first measurement path indicated in Figure *1.* The total length of the first measurement path was found to be about 19m which at a carrier frequency of **2.45GHz** corresponds to about 156 λ . It is clear that the average magnitude is stable and can be used for normalization purposes. **A** sharp rise in magnitude is observed when moving into LOS, as expected. There is also a smaller side peak at around 100λ that may be due to a pillar obstructing the LOS, see Figure 1. In the following analysis, the normalization in **(1)** will be used.

Figure **2** also shows the estimated local Ricean K parameter of the data which is a good indicator of LOS conditions. For each element of the normalized matrix **H,** the K factor was estimated using a moment based method [1]

Figure 2: The average channel coefficient magnitude η for the first measurement path in Figure 1. **Also** shown is the estimated Ricean K parameter for the same path.

over a segment *of* one wavelength. By sliding this window along the measurement path, a sequence of K values was obtained. The plotted K factor in Figure *2* is the average of all the **H** coefficients which for the 10×10 array equals 100 coefficients.

For K values close to zero, the Ricean distribution coincides with the Rayleigh distribution which is known to be a good model for NLOS conditions **[SI. In** LOS conditions, the channel matrix **H** is dominated by the LOS part that changes much slower than the diffuse NLOS pan. Hence, **a** high **K** value has been found in those scenarios **[E].** This is confirmed in Figure *2* where larger values are obtained in the **LOS** portion which also has larger magnitude. In the NLOS portions, lower K values **as** well **as** magnitudes were obtained. However, the K values are **not** zero which indicates that there is some component in **H** that is more station*ay.* Possible explanations for this may be strong reflections, refractions, or wave-guiding effects.

3.2. Beamforming

It is interesting to relate the properties *of* the channel to the physical environment. To study this, the received energy versus the angle, i.e. the spatial spectrum, **is** plotted nsing the conventional beamformer in Figure **3.** Spectra for three positions of the receive array and one position for the transmitter (LOS position) are shown. Note that the antenna arrays were mounted on top of carts that were pulled along the hallway which lead to some inaccuracies in position and alignment along the path. It is clear that in L.0S there is a focused beam along the LOS direction. But there is also a backlobe at the receiver that may be due to a back wall reflection. Hence, there are at least two rays in the LOS position that gives K values larger than zero but less than in

Figure **3:** Spatial spectra along the first measurement path in Figure 1.

outdoor scenarios with just one dominant ray **[SI.**

At the NLOS positions of the receiver, the energy is arriving from a much wider angular band. Hence, no single ray dominates and low K values result *as* found in Figure **1.** In the NLOS portion **of** the path, the spatial spectrum also varies rapidly where strong reflectors such **as** metal bars at times dominate the spatial spectrum. At other times, the **main** part of the energy arrives in the direction of the hallway. This may be a waveguide *effect,* especially at larger distances.

3.3. Correlation

Another important characteristic of the channel is the coherence distance, i.e. the distance required for the correlation to drop below a cenain value. **It** is expected that the NLOS section should exhibit a short coherence distance since there are many diffuse contributions that change rapidly with distance. For the LOS positions, **a** longer coherence distance **is** expected since there is a stable LOS component. This is confirmed in Figure **4,** where the correlation coefficient versus separation distance is shown for a LOS and NLOS section. Here, the NLOS section consists of the last 40 wavelengths of the first path, see Figure **1** and *2.* The **last** NLOS segment was chosen since the K factor **was** in Figure 2 found to be lower than that **of** the first NLOS pan. As LOS section, a 20 λ section centered around a total distance of 80 λ that has high K values was chosen, see Figure *2.* The correlation curves represent the average over **all** the 100 coefficients.

The separation required to drop to a correlation *of 0.5* is 0.3 λ in NLOS and 1 λ in LOS. The NLOS separation distance **is** close to the distance obtained using the classic Jakes model with **a** uniform distribution surrounding the receiver

Figure 4: Correlation versus separation distance for NLOS and LOS positions along the first path in Figure **1.**

 $d = J_0^{-1}(0.5)/(2\pi) \approx 0.25\lambda$. Here, $J_0(\cdot)$ denotes the zeroth order Bessel function of the first kind. This indicates that the signal arrives at the receiver from many different angles, *as* found in the beamforming analysis in Figure **3.**

3.4. Multivariate Statistics

Most analysis of MIMO systems assumes that the statistical distribution of channel coefficients **is** multivariate normal. Few have, however, investigated the validity of this assumption although some studies *of* the marginal distrihulions, i.e. the univariate distribution of each coefficient have appeared 19, *IO].* Therein, the magnitude (envelope) is fitted to a Rayleigh distribution and the phase **is** found to be reasonably well modeled by a uniform distribution. This suggests that a complex normal distribution would fit the measurements well.

But the fact that each coefficient individually is well described by a complex normal distribution does not mean that the joint multivariate distribution must he complex normal. In fact, it will be shown that for the MIMO data under study, the data appears to marginally normal but not MVN. However, for any multivariate normal distribution, all the univariate distributions must be normal. Hence, tests for univariate normality will be applied together with a test **for** multivariate normality in **this** section.

Figure 5 shows the distribution of the channel coefficient magnitude for the the **NLOS** and LOS segments of the first measurement path that was analyzed in Section 3.3. The results are obtained by averaging over all channel coefficients. **A** Rayleigh distribution is fitted to the NLOS data while **a** Ricean distribution with K=3.1 **is fitted** to the LOS data. The Rice and Rayleigh distributions appears **to** fit the

Figure *5:* Empirical and estimated probability density func**tions** for magnitude of channel coefficients of the NLOS and LOS part of the first measurement path in Figure 1.

	NLOS		LOS	
	Real	Imag	Real	Imag
Lilliefors	8%	11%	5%	9%
Shapiro-Wilks	11%	12%	7%	12%
Bera-Jarque	3%	1%	3%	3%

Table 1: Univariate test results for the NLOS and LOS segments along the first path in Figure **1.**

data reasonably well. It should be noted that the empirical Probability Density Function (PDF) **for** the LOS case is estimated using less data than the NLOS PDF. It was also found that the phase is reasonably well approximated with a uniform distribution, although the LOS case again showed some deviation due to **less** data.

To verify that the channel coefficients obey a complex normal distribution, tests for univariate normality were used. Tests were applied to the real part and the imaginary part of the channel coefficients which were found to be approximately uncorrelated. Three test were considered, the Lilliefors modification *of* the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, the Bera-Jarque test, and the Shapiro-Wilks test *[5].* The Shapiro-Wilks test was constructed by considering the regression of ordered sample values on corresponding expected normal order statistics. It is **is** known to have good power properties and is considered an omnibus test. The Bera-Jarque test is based on skewness and kurtosis but **is** an asymptotic test and **is** expected to perform slightly worse for small samples. Lilliefors test **is** based on measuring the departure of the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) from the hypothesis CDF. Unfortunately, the powers of Bera-Jarque and Lilliefors **is** not **as** well known **as** that of Shapiro-Wilks.

The results of the hypothesis test **for** the NLOS and LOS

	2×2	3×3	-4 4 ×	5×5	6×6
Real	10%	14%	32%	100%	100%
Imag	2%	5%	25%	100%	100%

Table 2: Results from the Henze-Zirkler MVN test applied to data from the second path in Figure **1.**

cases are shown in Table 1. Here, the NLOS coefficients were sampled at every 0.3λ and the LOS every λ . These sampling distances corresponds to the 0.5 correlation level found in [Figure 4.](#page-3-0) Thus, the NLOS set consists of **136** samples of each coefficient while the shorter LOS set consists of **41** samples. **A** significance level of 5% was used to determine the critical values and the results presented in Table 1 represents the average over one hundred coefficients.

Only mild deviations from non-normality are present since the rejection rates are above the *5%* significance level hut not significantly higher. Note that the rejection rate when performing 100 tests fluctuates quite a bit even under the *true* hypothesis. Thus, the null hypothesis of normally distributed data can not be rejected as was suspected from the empirical PDF plots in Figure *S.*

Many tests-have been designed to assess multivariate normality. A recent survey of tests for MVN [6] found that the Henze-Zikler test **[3]** has overall good power against alternative distributions. This test is based on measuring the deviation between the characteristic function of the empirical and hypothesis distributions. **This** test will he used to examine the validity of the assumption of MVN of the measured MIMO data. To test MIMO systems of increasing orders which requires more data, the second measurement path in Figure 1 was used for these tests. This path is in NLOS and is significantly longer but also more stable since no LOS sections are present.

A window of 40λ was used to test the different hypoth**esis.** By sliding this window along the measurement path, statistics of the performance were collected. The data was downsampled to one sample per wavelength to ensure low correlation between samples. Before investigating MVN, the univariate tests that were applied to the first path were applied also to this path. Those test yielded similar results with no strong indications of non-normality. The MVN results, averaged along the measurement path, are presented in Table 2. For 2×2 and 3×3 systems, the rejection rates are moderate and these systems may be approximated as MVN distributed. However, for larger systems there is strong evidence of non-normality and MVN must be rejected for these systems. Hence, it seems that MVN **is** an reasonable assumption only for small systems.

Performing the same test for the first measurement path, yields essentially the same results except for the segments with LOS. **This** is due to the fact that in LOS there is a deterministic component that varies, albeit slowly, over the LOS segment. Hence, the resulting PDF is the average distribution along the segment which differs from a MVN distribution. Measurements with longer stationary LOS segments are needed to run the hypothesis test in that case.

4. Conclusions

Measurements taken at the campus of Brigham Young University *(BYU)* were used *to* investigate the statistical prop*erties* of the indoor MIMO channel. The Ricean K parameter was estimated along a path in both LOS and NLOS. It was found that the maximum K value in LOS conditions is about seven and **less** than unity in NLOS. These results were related to spatial spectra calculated using the conventional beamformer which confirmed a larger multipath content **in** NLOS. Furthermore, statistical tests were applied to investigate if the data belongs to a multivariate normal distribution. It was found that the univariate statistics can be approximated by a complex normal distribution but only small MIMO systems can he considered MVN distributed.

5. References

- 111 A. Abdi, C. Tepedelenlioglu, M. Kaveh and G. Giannakis. "On the Estimation **of** the *X* Parameter for the Rice Fading Distribution". *IEEE Communications Letters*, 5(3):92-94, March **2001.**
- 121 G.J. Foschini and M.J. Cans. "On Limits of Wireless Communications in a Fading Environment when Using Multiple Antennas". *Wireless Personal Communications*, 6:311-335, March 1998.
- **[31** N. Heme and B. Zirkler. 'A Class of Invariant Consistent Tests for Multivariate Normality". *Commun. Statist-Theor: Merh,* 19(10):3595-3618, 1990.
- [41 J.P. Kermoal, L. Schumacher, P.E. Mogensen, and K.I. Ped- ersen. "Experimental Investigation **of** Correlation **Properties of** MIMO Radio Channels for Indoor Picocell Scenarios". In *Proc. IEEE VTC 2000 Fall,* Boston. MA, September 2000.
- **IS1** P.R. Krishnaiah, editor. Analysis **of** *Variance. Handbook of Sratisrics,* vol. 1. North-Holland Publishing Company, 1980.
- 161 C.J. Mecklin. *A Comparison of the Power of Classical and Never* **Tests** *of Multivariare Normality.* PhD thesis, University **of** Nonhem Colorado, 2000.
- 171 A.F. Molisch, M. Steinhauer, M. Toelfsch, E. Bonek, and R.S Thoma. "Capacity **of** MIMO Systems Based *on* Measured Wireless Channels". *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Cornmunicarions.* 20(3):561-569, **April** 2002.
- 181 *D.* Parsons. *The Mobile Radio Propagation Channel.* John Wiley and **sons,** Inc., West Sussex, England, *2"d ed.,* 2000.
- [91 J.W. Wallace and M.A. bnsen. "Measured Characteristics of the MIMO Wireless Channel". In *Pmc. IEEE* **VTC** *01 Fall,* pages 2038-2042, Atlantic City, NI, USA, October **2001.**
- I101 K. **Yu,** M. Bengtsson, B. Ottersten, D. McNamara, P. **Karls**son, and M. Beach. "Second Order Statistics of NLOS Indoor MIMO Channels Based on **5.2GHz** Measurements". In Proc. IEEE Globecom 2001, volume 1, pages 156-160, San Antonio, TX, November 2001.