- 1. Consider the vectors x^2 , 2x 1, and $x^2 + 1$ in $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$.
 - (a) Do the vectors span $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$? If so, show it; if not, provide an example of a vector not in their span.

Solution: Every linear combination of the vectors above has form

$$\alpha x^2 + 2\beta x - \beta + \gamma x^2 + \gamma = (\alpha + \gamma)x^2 + (2\beta)x + (\gamma - \beta).$$

Now a vector f in $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a polynomial with degree at most 2 with real coefficients, and may be written in the form

$$f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c,$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Setting

$$\beta=\frac{b}{2},\ \gamma=c+\frac{b}{2},\ \text{and}\ \alpha=a-c-\frac{b}{2},$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (a-c-\frac{b}{2})x^2 + (\frac{b}{2})(2x-1) + (c+\frac{b}{2})(x^2+1) &= ax^2 - cx^2 - \frac{b}{2}x^2 + bx - \frac{b}{2} + cx^2 + \frac{b}{2}x^2 + c + \frac{b}{2} \\ &= ax^2 + bx + c \\ &= f(x), \end{aligned}$$

so that every vector in $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a linear combination of vectors in the list $(x^2, 2x - 1, x^2 + 1)$.

(b) Are the vectors independent in $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$? If so, show it; if not, provide a counterexample. Solution: If α , β , and γ are numbers so that

$$\alpha x^2 + 2\beta x - \beta + \gamma x^2 + \gamma = \mathbf{0},$$

then by equating powers of x, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \gamma &= 0\\ 2\beta &= 0\\ -\beta + \gamma &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$, so that the vectors are indeed independent.

2. Consider the vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

(a) Do the vectors span $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$? If so, show it; if not, provide an example of a vector not in their span.

Solution: I claim that the vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not in the span of the vectors above. To be certain, we check

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 9 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha & \alpha \\ \alpha & \alpha \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3\beta \\ 3\beta & 9\beta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

To make things easier on ourselves, we rewrite the last equation as the system

$$2\alpha - \gamma = 0$$

$$\alpha + 3\beta = 1$$

$$\alpha + 3\beta = 0$$

$$\alpha + 9\beta + \gamma = 0,$$

whose augmented matrix is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 9 & 1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

row reducing, we see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 9 & 1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1/2 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 6 & 1 & | & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is clearly an inconsistent system. Thus the list of vectors does not span $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

(b) Are the vectors independent in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$? If so, show it; if not, provide a counterexample. Solution: We need to check the equation

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 9 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha & \alpha \\ \alpha & \alpha \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3\beta \\ 3\beta & 9\beta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which correspondes to the system *system*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2\alpha-\gamma &=& 0\\ \alpha+3\beta &=& 0\\ \alpha+3\beta &=& 0\\ \alpha+9\beta+\gamma &=& 0. \end{array}$$

The augmented matrix for this system is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 9 & 1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which row reduces to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 1 & 9 & 1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1/2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1/2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 9 & 3/2 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 9 & 3/2 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has nonzero solutions; e.g. $\gamma = 6$, $\beta = -1$, $\alpha = 3$. Thus the vectors are not independent.

3. Consider the vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$, the vector space of all 2×2 trace 0 matrices over \mathbb{R} .

(a) Use a system of linear equations and Gauss-Jordan elimination on the resulting augmented matrix to show that the vectors span sl(2, ℝ).
 Solution: Any matrix in sl(2, ℝ) can be written in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}.$$

We wish to show that any matrix in $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ may also be written in the form

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha - \gamma + \delta & \alpha + \delta \\ \beta + 4\gamma + \delta & -2\alpha + \gamma - \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we have the system of equations

$$2\alpha - \gamma + \delta = a$$

$$\alpha + \delta = b$$

$$\beta + 4\gamma + \delta = c$$

(we may ignore the last equation, since it is a scalar multiple of the first). The matrix equation for this system is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}.$$

We may apply Gauss-Jordan elimination to the resulting augmented matrix:

This system is clearly consistent, so that the listed vectors span $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$.

(b) Use the equivalent conditions from Unit 1, Section 10 to show that the list is dependent.
 Solution: If

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha - \gamma + \delta & \alpha + \delta \\ \beta + 4\gamma + \delta & -2\alpha + \gamma - \delta \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0},$$

then the resulting matrix equation is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ -a \end{pmatrix}.$$

The coefficient matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is clearly determinant 0 (since the last row is a multiple of the first), so that the system

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has nontrivial solutions.

4. In the last homework, we saw that if A is an $n \times n$ matrix and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, then the set of all vectors x so that

 $Ax = \lambda x$

is a subspace of \mathbb{F}^n .

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\lambda = 1$.

(a) Let E be the subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 of all vectors x so that

$$Ax = \lambda x.$$

Find a parametric description for a general vector in E. Solution: Since $\lambda = 1$, we are looking for vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ so that

Ax = x, or equivalently (A - I)x = 0.

Using the second equation above, we wish to find $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ so that

$$\mathbf{0} = (A - I)x$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 - 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Reducing the augmented matrix for the system, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus both x_1 and x_3 are free variables; parameterizing

$$x_1 = t, \ x_3 = s,$$

we have

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ s \\ s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus E is the subspace of all vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} t \\ s \\ s \end{pmatrix},$$

 $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(b) Find a list of two vectors that spans the subspace E. *Example:* Every vector in E may be written in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} t \\ s \\ s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s \\ s \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + s \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the list

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

spans E.

5. Recall that \mathbb{C}^3 , the vector space of all 3×1 matrices with complex entries, is a vector space over \mathbb{C} , with basis

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix}\right).$$

(a) Show that the list of vectors above is *not* a basis for \mathbb{C}^3 when \mathbb{C}^3 is viewed as a vector space over \mathbb{R} .

Solution: Clearly there are no real numbers so that

$$a \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so the vectors do not span \mathbb{C}^3 over \mathbb{R} .

(b) Extend the list of vectors above to a basis for \mathbb{C}^3 over \mathbb{R} . *Example:* It seems reasonable to guess that the list

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}i\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\i\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\i\end{pmatrix}\right)\right)$$

could be a basis for \mathbb{C}^3 over \mathbb{R} .

Let's verify that the vectors span \mathbb{C}^3 : every vector in \mathbb{C}^3 can be written in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$; however, rewriting

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= a_1 + a_2 i \\ \beta &= b_1 + b_2 i \\ \gamma &= c_1 + c_2 i, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_j, b_j, c_j \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ b_1 \\ c_1 \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ b_2 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= a_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + a_2 \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus the list

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}i\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\i\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\i\end{pmatrix}\right)$$

spans \mathbb{C}^3 .

To show that the vectors are independent, we need merely observe that for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$a_1 + a_2 i = 0 \iff a_1 = a_2 = 0.$$

Thus

$$a_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + a_2 \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

if and only if each a_i , b_j , c_j above is identically 0.

- 6. Recall that an $n \times n$ matrix A is *skew-symmetric* if $A^{\top} = -A$. It is easy to see that the set $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{F})$ of all $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrices with entries in \mathbb{F} is a subspace of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$.
 - (a) Find a basis for so(2, ℂ).
 Example: One possible choice of basis is

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

(b) Find a basis for so(3, C); prove that your list of vectors forms a basis. *Example:* Any matrix in so(3, C) can be written in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ -a & 0 & c \\ -b & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus I claim that the list

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

is a basis.

Now given any 3×3 skew symmetric matrix

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ -a & 0 & c \\ -b & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

it is clear that u is a linear combination of the vectors in our list:

$$a\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + b\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + c\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b\\ -a & 0 & c\\ -b & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The vectors in our list are also clearly independent, since they do not have any interacting nonzero entries.

(c) Find a formula for the number of vectors in a basis for $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Solution: To find the number of vectors in a basis for $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$, we simply need to count the number of *above diagonal entries* in an $n \times n$ matrix.

Row 1 of our matrix has n entries, thus n-1 above diagonal entries. Row 2 has n-2 above diagonal entries; etc. So the total number of above diagonal entries in an $n \times n$ matrix is the sum of the first n-1 numbers, which can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

7. Suppose that the list

$$(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m)$$

is linearly independent, and that w is a vector in V so that that the list

 $(v_1 + w, v_2 + w, \ldots, v_m + w)$

is linearly *dependent*. Prove that

$$w \in \operatorname{span}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m).$$

Solution: If $(v_1 + w, v_2 + w, \ldots, v_m + w)$ is a dependent list, then there are constants α_1 , \ldots , α_n , at least one $\alpha_i \neq 0$, so that

$$\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_1 w + \alpha_2 v_2 + \alpha_2 w + \ldots + \alpha_m v_m + \alpha_m w = \mathbf{0}.$$

Rearranging terms in the equation, we see that

$$w(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_m) = -\alpha_1 v_1 - \alpha_2 v_2 - \ldots - \alpha_m v_m$$

Now we know that

 $-\alpha_1 v_1 - \alpha_2 v_2 - \ldots - \alpha_m v_m \neq \mathbf{0}$

since at least one $\alpha_i \neq 0$ and the v_i are independent. Thus

$$w(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_m) \neq \mathbf{0},$$

which means that

$$w \neq \mathbf{0}$$
 and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_m \neq 0$.

Thus we can divide by $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_m$, so that w may be written as the linear combination

$$w = \frac{-\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_m} v_1 + \frac{-\alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_m} v_2 + \ldots + \frac{-\alpha_m}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_m} v_m.$$

8. Prove that a vector space V is infinite dimensional if and only if there is an infinite sequence

$$v_1, v_2, \ldots$$

of vectors in V so that the list

$$(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m)$$

is independent for every positive integer m.

Solution: " \Leftarrow " If there is an infinite sequence

 v_1, v_2, \ldots

of vectors in V so that the list

 (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m)

is independent for every positive integer m, then since *every* spanning list is at least as long as every independent list, there is no finite spanning list for V; thus V is infinite dimensional. " \Rightarrow " If V is infinite dimensional, it has no finite spanning list. We proceed by contradiction: suppose that there *is no such sequence of vectors*. Then for every infinite sequence

 v_1, v_2, \ldots

of vectors, there is some finite list

$$(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m)$$

that is dependent. This implies that for any finite list of independent vectors in V, say

$$S = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m),$$

there are only finitely many vectors in V that may be added to S while maintaining independence of S. Without loss of generality we may assume that S is independent, and that no vector in V may be added to S while maintaining independence. Thus every vector $u \in V$ is a linear combination of the vectors in the (finite) list S, a contradiction.