

Saving energy is only part of the benefit, the real benefit of the Snowmelt comes with the savings. The following equations were used to calculate just how much savings could be made for a solar panel located in Denver Colorado. Denver was chosen as it receives 16 snowfalls a year with more than 1 inch of snow. This makes it an ideal location to initially implement the Snowmelt system.

First to calculate the energy required to melt the snow the mass of ice on top of the solar panel had to be calculated, via equation (1) below,

$$(1) A\rho_I\rho_wB = \text{Mass of Ice}$$

- $A = \text{Area of Solar Panels [m}^2\text{]}$
- $\rho_I = \text{Density of Snow [\%]}$
- $\rho_w = \text{Density of Water [kg/m}^3\text{]}$
- $B = \text{Boundary Layer of snow needed to melt [m]}$

After calculating required ice to melt, equation (2) below can be used to calculate the amount of energy required to melt the ice,

$$(2) \text{ Required Energy} = (mc_p\Delta T + mH_{fusion}) * \frac{2.78 * 10^{-7} \text{ [kWh]}}{1 \text{ [J]}}$$

- $m = \text{Mass of ice [g]}$
- $c_p = \text{Specific Heat of Ice [J/g}^\circ\text{C]}$
- $\Delta T = \text{Change in temperature between the Ice and the surrounding air}$
- $H_{fusion} = \text{Heat of fusion for water [J/g]}$
- $\text{Required Energy} = \text{[kWh]}$

After calculating the energy required to melt the snow, the energy saved from melting the snow must also be calculated. This was calculated via equation (3) below,

$$(3) \text{ Energy Gained} = \frac{(\eta A I t)}{1000}$$

- $\eta = \text{Efficiency of the solar panels [\%]}$
- $A = \text{Area [m}^2\text{]}$
- $I = \text{Sun's Intensity [W/m}^2\text{]}$
- $t = \text{Time exposed to sunshine gained [hr]}$
- $\text{Energy Gained} = \text{[kWh]}$

On average it was found that a kWh costs 15 cents in Colorado. So to calculate the net profit per snowfall equation (4) below was used,

$$(4) 0.15(\text{Energy Gained} - \text{Energy Lost}) = \text{Net Profit}$$

- $0.15 \text{ [$/kWh]}$
- $\text{Net Profit [$/snowfall]}$

After arriving at a net profit per snowfall, equation (5) below was used to calculate the savings over the lifetime of the solar panel,

$$(5) LfN - 4s = \text{Total Profit}$$

- $L = \text{Lifespan of the solar panels [yr]}$
- $f = \text{\# of snow falls per year [snowfall]}$
- $N = \text{Net profit snowfall [$/snowfall]}$
- $s = \text{Cost of weight sensor [\$]}$

The following assumptions were made to find the net total profit of the lifespan of a solar panel in Denver, CO.

- | | |
|--|--|
| - Area: 1.65 m ² | - Lifespan of Solar Panel: 20 yr |
| - % Ice: 30% | - Solar Intensity: 1000 W/m ² |
| - Density of Ice: 0.9187 kg/m ³ | - Boundary Melted: 0.01 m |
| - Air Temperature: -5 °C | - Sunshine Gained: 7 hours |
| - Panel Efficiency: 21% | - Weight Sensor Cost: \$4.91 |
| - Snowfalls/year: 16 | - # Sensors: 4 |

Based on these assumptions it was found that each solar panel would generate \$96.76 of profit over its 20 year lifespan. The average house will have anywhere from 15 to 45 solar panels. This indicates that over the lifetime of a solar panel system the savings will be from \$1,400 to \$4,000 for a residential home. For solar farms, Colorado's largest solar farm has around 500,000 panels, indicating savings over the lifespan of this solar farm would total to 48 million dollars.