

# An Introduction to Wedderburn Theory & Group Representations

Jonathan Bloom

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Basic definitions and preliminaries</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The semisimplicity of matrix algebras</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Wedderburn's theorem</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Representation theory of groups</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Characters</b>	<b>9</b>

# 1 Basic definitions and preliminaries

Throughout we let  $F$  be an arbitrary field.

**Definition.** An  $F$ -algebra  $A$  is a vector space over  $F$  with a multiplication on  $A$  satisfying

$$(\lambda a)b = \lambda(ab) = a(\lambda b)$$

where  $\lambda \in F$  and  $a, b \in A$ . We say that  $A$  is finite dimensional if  $A$  as an  $F$ -vector space is *finite dimensional*. Further, we say  $A$  has *unity* if there exists a multiplicative identity in  $A$ .

**Definitions.** Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. We say  $M$  is *simple* if  $M$  has no nonzero proper submodules. On the other hand we say  $M$  is *semisimple* if  $M \neq 0$  and

$$M \cong S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_r$$

where the  $S_i$ 's are simple  $R$ -modules.

In the following we will let  $A$  be a finite dimensional  $F$ -algebra with unity and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Observe that since  $1 \in A$  then  $M$  is an  $F$ -vector space by defining

$$\lambda \cdot a = \lambda 1 \cdot a$$

for  $a \in A$  and  $\lambda \in F$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *An  $A$ -module  $M$  is finitely generated if and only if  $M$  is a finite dimensional  $F$ -vector space.*

*Proof.* First, assume  $M$  is finitely generated and let  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$  be its generating set. As  $A$  is finite dimensional then let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be its basis over  $F$ . It is now clear that the finite set  $\{e_i m_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r\}$  spans  $M$  as an  $F$ -vector space. The converse is trivial.  $\square$

In the following we will further assume all  $A$ -modules are finitely generating, or in light of the previous result, finite dimensional as a  $F$ -vector space.

**Lemma 1.2** (Schur). *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be simple  $R$ -modules. If  $\varphi: S \rightarrow T$  is a module homomorphism then either  $\varphi = 0$  or  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* As  $\ker \varphi$  is a submodule of  $S$  then either  $\ker \varphi = 0$  or  $\ker \varphi = S$ . If the latter occurs then  $\varphi = 0$ . In the case of the former we see that  $\varphi$  is injective. As the image of  $\varphi$  is a submodule of  $T$  we see that this must either be all of  $T$ , in which case  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism, or  $0$ , in which case  $\varphi = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. The following are equivalent:*

- a)  $M$  is semisimple
- b)  $M$  is the sum (not necessarily direct) of finitely many simple modules
- c) Any submodule of  $M$  is a direct summand of  $M$ .

*Proof.* (a  $\Rightarrow$  b) Clear.

(b  $\Rightarrow$  a) First note that if  $S$  and  $T$  are simple submodules with  $S \neq T$  then  $S \cap T = \{0\}$ . If  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  are the distinct simple submodules that sum to  $M$  it now follows that

$$M \cong S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_r.$$

(c  $\Rightarrow$  a) Observe that if c) holds for  $M$  then it must hold for any submodule of  $M$ . To see this let  $U$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Thus  $M \cong U \oplus V$  for some submodule  $V$ . Now let  $U_0$  be a submodule of  $U$ . Thus  $U_0 \oplus V_0 \cong M$ . Letting  $U_1 = U_0 \cap V_0$ , we see that  $U_0 \oplus U_1 = U$ . By Lemma 1.1 we know that  $\dim_F(M) < \infty$ . We now proceed by induction. Let  $S \subset M$  be simple. So  $S \oplus V \cong M$  for some  $V$ . As  $\dim_F(V) < \dim_F(M)$  then by induction  $V$  is semisimple and we are done.

(c  $\Rightarrow$  a) Let  $U$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Let us define  $V$  to be the maximal submodule of  $M$  so that  $U \cap V = \{0\}$ . Thus  $U \oplus V$  is a submodule of  $M$ . If all the simple modules of  $M$  sit inside  $U \oplus V$  then  $M \subset U \oplus V$  and we are done. For a contradiction we may assume this is not the case. Let  $S$  be a simple module with  $S \not\subset U \oplus V$ . As  $S$  is simple we immediately see that  $S \cap U \oplus V = \{0\}$ . This means  $U \oplus V \oplus S \subset M$  which contradicts the maximality of  $V$ . □

**Lemma 1.4.** *Submodules and homomorphic images of semisimple modules are semisimple.*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  and  $M$  be  $A$ -modules where  $M = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_r$  is semisimple. If  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  is an onto  $A$ -module homomorphism then (by Schur's Lemma)  $\varphi|_{S_i}$  is either the zero map or an isomorphism. Thus  $N$  is the sum of simple modules and by Lemma 1.3 it must be simple.

Now to prove the first claim let  $U$  be a submodule of  $M$ . By Lemma 1.3 we have  $M = U \oplus V$  for some submodule  $V$ . The result now follows by the first part and the fact that  $M/V \cong U$  is the homomorphic image of  $M$ . □

**Definition.** We say an algebra  $A$  is *semisimple* if all modules over  $A$  are semisimple.

**Lemma 1.5.** *The algebra  $A$  is semisimple if and only if the  $A$  as an  $A$ -module is semisimple.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Clear.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. As  $M$  is finitely generated, take  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$  to be a generating set. Now define the surjective module homomorphism  $\varphi: A^r \rightarrow M$  given by  $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \mapsto a_1 m_1 + \dots + a_r m_r$ . So  $M$  is the homomorphic image of a semisimple module, namely  $A^r$ . By Lemma 1.3 we see that  $M$  is semisimple as well. □

The following beautiful theorem tells us that for any semisimple module  $A$  there are only finitely many distinct simple  $A$ -modules. We then show in Theorem 1.2 that the decomposition of any  $A$ -module in terms of simple modules is unique.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $A$  be semisimple and assume  $A = S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus S_r$  where the  $S_i$  are simple  $A$ -modules. Then any simple  $A$ -module  $S$  is isomorphic to some  $S_i$ .*

*Proof.* As  $S \neq 0$  then we may choose some nonzero  $v \in S$ . Define  $\varphi: A \rightarrow S$  by  $a \mapsto av$ . As  $S$  is simple then  $\varphi$  must be surjective and nonzero. Now consider the restriction of  $\varphi$  to each of  $A$ 's summands. As  $\varphi \neq 0$  it follows that at least one of these restrictions,  $\varphi|_{S_i}$  is nonzero. By Schur's Lemma the map

$$\varphi|_{S_i}: S_i \rightarrow S$$

must be an isomorphism. □

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  be the distinct simple  $A$ -modules. If  $M \cong n_1 S_1 \oplus \dots \oplus n_r S_r$  then the  $n_i$  are uniquely determined.

*Proof.* By Schur's Lemma it follows that we have the following  $F$ -vector space isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, S_i) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(n_i S_i, S_i) \cong n_i \mathrm{Hom}(S_i, S_i)$$

As  $M$  is finite dimensional over  $F$  (all our modules are assumed finitely generated) we see that  $\dim_F(\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, S_i)) < \infty$ . Thus  $n_i$  is given by a ratio of dimensions.  $\square$

## 2 The semisimplicity of matrix algebras

**Definitions.** Define  $A^n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} \mid a_i \in A \right\}$  and  $M_n(A)$  be the algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $A$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $D$  be a division algebra. Then  $D^n$  is a simple  $M_n(D)$ -module. Further

$$M_n(D) \cong nD^n$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in D^n$  be nonzero. Without loss of generality, assume  $x_1 \neq 0$ . So  $E_{1,1}(x_1^{-1})x = e_1$ ,

where the  $E_{ij}$  are the elementary matrices and  $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . The theorem now follows immediately.  $\square$

**Convention.** Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module and  $N$  be a  $B$ -module. Then  $M \oplus N$  is an  $A \oplus B$ -module by defining  $(a, b) \cdot (m, n) := (am, bn)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $D_i$  be division algebras for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Then the algebra

$$A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_n(D_i)$$

is semisimple and has precisely  $r$  distinct simple modules (up to isomorphism).

*Proof.* By our convention  $A$  has a canonical  $A$ -module structure. Moreover, since  $M_n(D_i) \cong nD_i^n$  (as modules) it follows that

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r nD_i^n.$$

Since the  $D_i^n$  are simple it now follows that  $A$  is semisimple. The  $r$  distinct simple modules of  $A$  are (easily) seen to be  $D_1^n, \dots, D_r^n$ .  $\square$

In the next section we show that every semisimple algebra  $A$  is essentially a direct sum of matrix algebras over division rings.

### 3 Wedderburn's theorem

The purpose of this section is to prove the following classification of semisimple algebras due to Wedderburn.

**Theorem 3.1** (Wedderburn). *The algebra  $A$  is semisimple if and only if it is isomorphic with a direct sum of matrix algebras over division rings.*

First, observe that Theorem 2.1 proves the reverse direction. Next, we prove an important corollary of Wedderburn's Theorem. We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $F$  is algebraically closed, then  $\text{End}_A(S) \cong F$ , where  $S$  is a simple  $A$ -module.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \text{End}_A(S)$ . As  $S$  is a vector space over our algebraically closed field  $F$  then  $\varphi$  must have an eigenpair  $(x, \lambda)$ . So  $x \in \ker(\varphi - \lambda)$  and by Schur's Lemma we must have  $\varphi - \lambda = 0$ . In other words,  $\varphi = \lambda$ . The result now follows.  $\square$

Since we will predominately be working over  $\mathbb{C}$  the following Corollary of Wedderburn's result will be especially useful for us.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $F$  be algebraically closed. If  $A$  is semisimple then it is isomorphic to a direct sum of matrix algebras over  $F$ .*

We now work toward a proof of (the forward direction of) Wedderburn's result. The following definitions and lemmas will be needed.

**Definition.** If  $A$  is an algebra, then  $A^{op}$ , called the *opposite algebra*, is the algebra with the same underlying vector space as  $A$  but where multiplication is given by  $a \cdot b := ba$  where the right side is the given multiplication in  $A$ .

**Lemma 3.2.**  $A^{op} \cong \text{End}_A(A)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \text{End}_A(A)$ . Observe that  $\varphi(b) = b\varphi(1)$  for all  $b \in A$ . This means that  $\varphi$  is completely determined by where it maps 1. Now define

$$\rho: A^{op} \rightarrow \text{End}_A(A)$$

by  $a \mapsto \varphi_a$ , where  $\varphi_a(1) = a$ . In light of our first observation this is clearly a vector space isomorphism. Lastly,

$$\rho(a \cdot b) = \rho(ba) = \varphi_{ba} = \varphi_a \circ \varphi_b = \rho(a) \circ \rho(b).$$

$\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *We have  $M_n(A^{op}) \cong M_n(A)^{op}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $tr$  be the transpose map. It will suffice to show that it preserves multiplication. Observe

$$tr(\alpha E_{ij} \beta E_{lk}) = tr(\beta \alpha E_{ij} E_{lk}) = \begin{cases} \beta \alpha & \text{if } l = i \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly,

$$tr(\alpha E_{ij}) \cdot tr(\beta E_{lk}) = \alpha E_{ji} \cdot \beta E_{lk} = \begin{cases} \beta \alpha & \text{if } l = i \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We see that the map  $tr$  extends by linearity to be an algebra isomorphism.

$\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $A$ -modules. If*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N) = 0 = \mathrm{Hom}_A(N, M)$$

*then*

$$\mathrm{End}_A(M \oplus N) \cong \mathrm{End}_A(M) \oplus \mathrm{End}_A(N)$$

*as algebras.*

*Proof.* Define  $\rho_M: M \oplus N \rightarrow M$  and  $\rho_N: M \oplus N \rightarrow N$  be projection maps. Now take  $\gamma \in \mathrm{End}_A(M \oplus N)$  and observe that

$$\rho_M \circ \gamma|_M \in \mathrm{Hom}(M, M) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_N \circ \gamma|_N \in \mathrm{Hom}(N, N).$$

By our assumption these are both zero. Thus

$$\gamma = \rho_M \circ \gamma|_M \oplus \rho_N \circ \gamma|_N$$

where  $\rho_M \circ \gamma|_M \in \mathrm{End}_A(M)$  and  $\rho_N \circ \gamma|_N \in \mathrm{End}_A(N)$ . In other words, every element in  $\mathrm{End}_A(M \oplus N)$  looks like the sum  $\alpha + \beta$  where  $\alpha \in \mathrm{End}_A(M)$  and  $\beta \in \mathrm{End}_A(N)$ . As every map of this form is an endomorphism of  $M \oplus N$  the result now follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  be the distinct simple  $A$ -modules. Define*

$$U = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r n_i S_i$$

*then*

$$\mathrm{End}_A(U) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathrm{End}_A(n_i S_i)$$

*as algebras.*

*Proof.* By Schur's Lemma observe that  $\mathrm{Hom}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} n_i S_i, \bigoplus_{j \in J} n_j S_j) = 0$  provided  $I \cap J = \emptyset$ . The result now follows by repeated applications of Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *If  $S$  is a simple  $A$ -module then*

$$\mathrm{End}_A(nS) \cong M_n(\mathrm{End}_A(S)).$$

*Proof.* For notational ease let  $D = \mathrm{End}_A(S)$ . By Schur's Lemma  $D$  is a division ring so it makes sense to talk about a  $n$ -dimensional  $D$ -module  $V$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a basis for  $V$ . For  $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}_A(nS)$  define  $\bar{\varphi} \in \mathrm{End}_D(V)$  by

$$\bar{\varphi}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i\right) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \rho_i \varphi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) e_i$$

where  $\alpha_i \in D$  and  $\rho_i$  is the projection map onto the  $i$ th coordinate. Now if  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{End}_A(nS)$  then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\varphi} \circ \overline{\psi}(\alpha_1 e_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n e_n) &= \overline{\varphi} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \rho_i \psi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) e_i \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \rho_i \varphi(\rho_1 \psi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \dots, \rho_n \psi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) e_i \\ &= \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \rho_i \varphi \psi(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) e_i \\ &= \overline{\varphi \psi} \end{aligned}$$

It now follows that the map  $\varphi \mapsto \overline{\varphi}$  is an injective algebra homomorphism. As this map is between two vector spaces with the same dimension, namely  $n^2 \dim(D)$ , it must also be surjective.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Wedderburn's main result.

*Proof of Wedderburn's Theorem.* As we mentioned above it only remains to prove the forward direction. To do first let  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  be a complete list of distinct simple  $A$ -modules. Thus  $A = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_r$  where  $U_i = n_i S_i$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} A^{op} \cong \text{End}_A(A) &\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{End}_A(U_i) && \text{(Lemmas 3.2, 3.5)} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_{n_i}(\text{End}_A(S_i)) && \text{(Lemmas 3.6)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Lemma 3.3 gives us that

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_{n_i}(\text{End}_A(S_i)^{op}).$$

It only remains to show that  $\text{End}_A(S_i)$  is a division ring but this easily follows from Schur's Lemma.  $\square$

## 4 Representation theory of groups

In this section let  $G$  be a finite group and  $V$  a vector space over an algebraically closed field  $F$ .

**Definition.** A *representation* of  $G$  (on  $V$ ) is a homomorphism  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ .

**Definition.** Assume  $G$  acts on  $V$ . We say this action is *linear* if

$$g(v + w) = gv + gw$$

and

$$g(\lambda v) = \lambda gv$$

where  $g \in G$ ,  $w, v \in V$  and  $\lambda \in F$ .

Observe that every representation  $\rho$  of  $G$  on  $V$  gives rise to a linear action as follows

$$g \cdot v := \rho(g)v.$$

Likewise, if  $G$  acts linearly on  $V$  then this defines a representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  by setting

$$\rho(g)v := gv.$$

$\rho$  is indeed a homomorphism since  $\rho(gh)v = (gh)v = g(hv) = \rho(g)\rho(h)v$  for all  $v \in V$ . As these constructions are inverses of one another it follows that linear actions and representations are equivalent.

**Definition.** Define the set  $FG$  to be the set of all formal linear combinations of  $G$  by elements in  $F$ . We call  $FG$  the *group algebra*.

It is clear that  $FG$  is an  $F$ -vector space with dimension  $|G|$ . To see that it also has a canonical algebra structure, and hence is deserving of its name, note that we can define

$$\left( \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \right) \left( \sum_{h \in G} \beta_h h \right) := \sum_{g, h \in G} \alpha_g \beta_h gh$$

where  $gh$  is computed in the group and  $\alpha_g \beta_h$  is computed in the ground field. Lastly,  $FG$  is also an algebra with unity since  $e \in G$  acts as a multiplicative identity.

Before continuing, let us observe that any  $FG$ -module  $V$  is equivalent to a linear action of  $G$  on  $V$  and, by the paragraph above, is equivalent to a representation of  $G$  on  $V$ .

**Theorem 4.1** (Maschke). *If  $\text{Char}(F) = 0$  or  $(\text{Char}(F), |G|) = 1$ , then  $FG$  is a semisimple algebra.*

**Theorem 4.2.** *The group algebra  $\mathbb{C}G$  is isomorphic to*

$$M_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n_r}(\mathbb{C}).$$

*Further,  $\mathbb{C}G$  has exactly  $r$  distinct simple modules  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  where  $\dim(S_i) = n_i$ .*

*Proof.* By Maschke's theorem we know that  $\mathbb{C}G$  is semisimple. Wedderburn's theorem, its corollary, and Theorem 2.1 give the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.**  $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2 = |G|$

*Proof.* Compare the dimensions in the decomposition in Theorem 4.2.

$$|G| = \dim(\mathbb{C}G) = \dim \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2.$$

$\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *The number of distinct simple modules over  $\mathbb{C}G$  is precisely the number of conjugacy classes in  $G$ .*



*Proof.* Here we use the decomposition given in Theorem 4.2 and compare the dimension of the centers. On the right hand side we have

$$Z\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})\right) = \mathbb{C} I_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C} I_{n_r}$$

where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. Thus the dimension of the center is  $r$ . For the left hand side we have, for  $h = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g$  and  $x \in G$ :

$$\begin{aligned} h \in Z(\mathbb{C}G) &\text{ iff } x \left( \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \right) x^{-1} = h \\ &\text{ iff } \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g x g x^{-1} = h \\ &\text{ iff } \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{x^{-1} g x} g = h. \end{aligned}$$

It now follows that  $h \in Z(\mathbb{C}G)$  if and only if  $\alpha_g = \alpha_{x^{-1} g x}$ , i.e., the coefficients  $\alpha_g$  must be constant on conjugacy classes. Thus

$$\dim(Z(\mathbb{C}G)) = \text{number of conjugacy classes in } G.$$

The result now follows. □

## 5 Characters

In this section again let  $G$  be a finite group and  $V$  a  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module where  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  are the  $r$  irreducible  $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules. As usual let  $\rho$  be the corresponding representation.

**Definition.** Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  be a representation. We define a *character of  $V$*  to be the function

$$\chi_V : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

where  $\chi_V(g)$  is the trace of  $\rho(g)$ .

Note that if the representation is irreducible then we usually say  $\chi_V$  is an *irreducible character*. Further, we will often extend  $\chi_V$  linearly so that

$$\chi_V : \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Last, we adopt the convention that  $\chi_i = \chi_{S_i}$ .

**Definition.** A *class function of  $G$*  is a function  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that is constant on conjugacy classes of  $G$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of all class functions on  $G$ .

Observe that Corollary 4.2 says that  $\dim(\mathcal{C}) = r$ . Moreover since

$$\chi_U(x g x^{-1}) = \text{Trace}(\rho(x) \rho(g) \rho(x)^{-1}) = \text{Trace}(\rho(g)) = \chi_U(g)$$

we see that  $\chi_i \in \mathcal{C}$ . In fact, much more is true.

**Theorem 5.1.** *The irreducible characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  form a basis for  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\dim(\mathcal{C}) = r$  it will suffice to show that the  $\chi_i$  are linearly independent. Let  $e_i$  be the element in  $\mathbb{C}G$  that corresponds to the element in  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$  that is zero in every coordinate except the  $i$ th coordinate where we place the identity matrix. Thus

$$\chi_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}n_j.$$

Now, if  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \chi_i$ , then

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \chi_i(e_j) = \alpha_j n_j.$$

We conclude that the  $\alpha_i$  must all be zero and that the  $\chi_i$  are linearly independent.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Two  $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules  $U$  and  $V$  are isomorphic if and only if  $\chi_U = \chi_V$ .*

*Proof.* The forward direction is clear. For the other direction decompose  $U$  and  $V$  as

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \alpha_i S_i \quad \text{and} \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \beta_i S_i.$$

It now follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \chi_i = \chi_U = \chi_V = \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i \chi_i.$$

By the linear independence of the  $\chi_i$  we see that  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ . Thus  $U$  and  $V$  are isomorphic.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.1.** *Assume  $g \in G$  of order  $n$ . Then*

- a)  $\rho(g)$  is diagonalizable. All its eigenvalues are  $n$ th roots of unity
- b)  $\chi_V(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_V(g)}$
- c)  $|\chi_V(g)| \leq \chi_V(1)$ . Further, we have equality if and only if  $g \in \ker(\rho)$ .
- d)  $\{g \in G \mid \chi_V(g) = \chi_V(1)\} \trianglelefteq G$

*Proof.* To prove a), observe that since  $g^n = 1$ , then the minimal polynomial of  $\rho(g)$  must divide  $x^n - 1$ . Thus the minimal polynomial must have distinct roots all of which are  $n$ th roots of units. The claim now follows from basic linear algebra. Next we prove b). By part a) we first diagonalize  $\rho(g)$  so that the diagonal entries are roots of unity. So  $\rho(g)^{-1} = \overline{\rho(g)}$  and thus  $\chi_V(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_V(g)}$ . Lastly the proofs of c) follows directly from a). To see d) observe that the set in question is precisely  $\ker(\rho)$ .  $\square$

If  $U$  is another  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module then  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, U)$  inherits a natural  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module structure as follows. First define

$$g \cdot \varphi := g\varphi(g^{-1}\cdot)$$

for  $g \in G$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, U)$ . As usual we then extend this action to all of  $\mathbb{C}G$ . Consequently,  $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})$  has a  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module structure since  $\mathbb{C}$  can always be thought of as a  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module with the trivial action. Therefore if  $f \in V^*$  then

$$g \cdot f = gf(g^{-1}\cdot) = f(g^{-1}\cdot)$$

in this case. We may also think of  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U$  as a  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module by defining the linear action of  $G$  on  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U$  by

$$g \cdot v \otimes u := gv \otimes gu.$$

This is called the *diagonal* action. There is an important connection between these two definitions. To understand this connection first recall the vector space isomorphism

$$\Phi: V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, U)$$

given by mapping  $f \otimes u \mapsto uf(\cdot)$ . With the definitions given above  $\Phi$  is actually a  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module isomorphism. Explicitly we have

$$\Phi(g \cdot \varphi \otimes u) = \Phi(g\varphi \otimes gu) = gu\varphi(g^{-1}\cdot) = g \cdot (u\varphi) = g \cdot \Phi(\varphi \otimes u).$$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $U$  be another  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module. Then*

a)  $\chi_{V \otimes U} = \chi_V \chi_U$ .

b)  $\chi_{V^*} = \bar{\chi}_U$ .

c)  $\chi_{\text{Hom}(V, U)} = \bar{\chi}_V \chi_U$ .

*Proof.* Part a) follows from basic linear algebra regarding trace and tensor products. □