# Another Look at Shape-Wilf-Equivalence and its Consequences

#### Jonathan Bloom (Joint with Sergi Elizalde & Dan Saracino)

Dartmouth College

July, 2013

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A **Ferrers Board** F is an  $n \times n$  array of unit squares with a "bite" taken out of the northeast corner.

A **Ferrers Board** F is an  $n \times n$  array of unit squares with a "bite" taken out of the northeast corner.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

A **Ferrers Board** F is an  $n \times n$  array of unit squares with a "bite" taken out of the northeast corner.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

A **Ferrers Board** F is an  $n \times n$  array of unit squares with a "bite" taken out of the northeast corner.



A full rook placement (f.r.p.) on F is a placement of n rooks so that **EXACTLY** one is in each row and in each column.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

A **Ferrers Board** F is an  $n \times n$  array of unit squares with a "bite" taken out of the northeast corner.



A full rook placement (f.r.p.) on F is a placement of n rooks so that **EXACTLY** one is in each row and in each column.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

A **Ferrers Board** F is an  $n \times n$  array of unit squares with a "bite" taken out of the northeast corner.



A full rook placement (f.r.p.) on F is a placement of n rooks so that **EXACTLY** one is in each row and in each column.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Notation...

A **Ferrers Board** F is an  $n \times n$  array of unit squares with a "bite" taken out of the northeast corner.



A **full rook placement** (f.r.p.) on F is a placement of n rooks so that **EXACTLY** one is in each row and in each column.

Notation...

•  $\mathcal{F}_n$  = set of all Ferrers boards that admit a f.r.p. of *n* rooks

A **Ferrers Board** F is an  $n \times n$  array of unit squares with a "bite" taken out of the northeast corner.



A **full rook placement** (f.r.p.) on F is a placement of n rooks so that **EXACTLY** one is in each row and in each column.

#### Notation...

•  $\mathcal{F}_n$  = set of all Ferrers boards that admit a f.r.p. of *n* rooks

•  $\mathcal{R}_F$  = set of all f.r.p.'s on F

A **Ferrers Board** F is an  $n \times n$  array of unit squares with a "bite" taken out of the northeast corner.



A **full rook placement** (f.r.p.) on F is a placement of n rooks so that **EXACTLY** one is in each row and in each column.

Notation...

•  $\mathcal{F}_n$  = set of all Ferrers boards that admit a f.r.p. of *n* rooks

• 
$$\mathcal{R}_F$$
 = set of all f.r.p.'s on  $F$ 

• 
$$\mathcal{R}_n = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_n} \mathcal{R}_F$$
 - Analogous to  $S_n$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ → 圖 - 釣�?

A f.r.p. on  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$  avoids a pattern  $\sigma \in S_k$  if, for any rectangle inside F the "permutation" in this rectangle avoids  $\sigma$  in the classical sense.

A f.r.p. on  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$  avoids a pattern  $\sigma \in S_k$  if, for any rectangle inside F the "permutation" in this rectangle avoids  $\sigma$  in the classical sense.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ



A f.r.p. on  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$  avoids a pattern  $\sigma \in S_k$  if, for any rectangle inside F the "permutation" in this rectangle avoids  $\sigma$  in the classical sense.





< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Read using cartesian coordinates!

A f.r.p. on  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$  avoids a pattern  $\sigma \in S_k$  if, for any rectangle inside F the "permutation" in this rectangle avoids  $\sigma$  in the classical sense.



• Contains 312

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

• Avoids 231

Read using cartesian coordinates!

A f.r.p. on  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$  avoids a pattern  $\sigma \in S_k$  if, for any rectangle inside F the "permutation" in this rectangle avoids  $\sigma$  in the classical sense.



• Contains 312

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

• Avoids 231

Read using cartesian coordinates!

Notation

A f.r.p. on  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$  avoids a pattern  $\sigma \in S_k$  if, for any rectangle inside F the "permutation" in this rectangle avoids  $\sigma$  in the classical sense.



• Contains 312

Avoids 231

Read using cartesian coordinates!

#### Notation

•  $\mathcal{R}_{F}(\sigma) = set of f.r.p.'s on F that avoid <math>\sigma$ 

A f.r.p. on  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$  avoids a pattern  $\sigma \in S_k$  if, for any rectangle inside F the "permutation" in this rectangle avoids  $\sigma$  in the classical sense.



• Contains 312

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Avoids 231

Read using cartesian coordinates!

#### Notation

- $\mathcal{R}_F(\sigma) = set of f.r.p.$ 's on F that avoid  $\sigma$
- $\mathcal{R}_n(\sigma) = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_n} \mathcal{R}_F(\sigma)$  Analogous to  $S_n(\sigma)$ .

We say two patterns  $\sigma, \tau \in S_k$  are shape-Wilf-equivalent if

$$|\mathcal{R}_F(\sigma)| = |\mathcal{R}_F(\tau)|,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ .

We say two patterns  $\sigma, \tau \in S_k$  are shape-Wilf-equivalent if

$$|\mathcal{R}_{F}(\sigma)| = |\mathcal{R}_{F}(\tau)|,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . In this case we write  $\sigma \sim \tau$ .

We say two patterns  $\sigma, \tau \in S_k$  are shape-Wilf-equivalent if

$$|\mathcal{R}_F(\sigma)| = |\mathcal{R}_F(\tau)|,$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . In this case we write  $\sigma \sim \tau$ .

# $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Observe:} \\ \mbox{Shape-Wilf-equivalence} \rightarrow \mbox{classical Wilf-equivalence.} \end{array}$

We say two patterns  $\sigma, \tau \in S_k$  are **shape-Wilf-equivalent** if

$$|\mathcal{R}_F(\sigma)| = |\mathcal{R}_F(\tau)|,$$

for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . In this case we write  $\sigma \sim \tau$ .

Observe: Shape-Wilf-equivalence  $\rightarrow$  classical Wilf-equivalence.

Theorem (Backlin-West-Xin '01) If  $\sigma \sim \tau$  and  $\rho$  is any other permutation then

 $\sigma \oplus \rho \sim \tau \oplus \rho.$ 

There are 3 (shape-Wilf) equivalence classes:

 $231 \sim 312 \qquad < \qquad 123 \sim 321 \sim 213 \qquad < \qquad 132$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

There are 3 (shape-Wilf) equivalence classes:

 $231 \sim 312 \qquad < \qquad 123 \sim 321 \sim 213 \qquad < \qquad 132$ 

Past Work:

- $123 \sim 321 \sim 213$ 
  - Backelin-West-Xin '01, Krattenthaler '06, Jelínek '07

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

There are 3 (shape-Wilf) equivalence classes:

 $231 \sim 312 \qquad < \qquad 123 \sim 321 \sim 213 \qquad < \qquad 132$ 

Past Work:

- $123 \sim 321 \sim 213$ 
  - Backelin-West-Xin '01, Krattenthaler '06, Jelínek '07

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Enumerated by noncrossing Dyck paths

There are 3 (shape-Wilf) equivalence classes:

 $231 \sim 312 \qquad < \qquad 123 \sim 321 \sim 213 \qquad < \qquad 132$ 

Past Work:

- $123 \sim 321 \sim 213$ 
  - Backelin-West-Xin '01, Krattenthaler '06, Jelínek '07

- Enumerated by noncrossing Dyck paths
- $231 \sim 312$ 
  - Original proofs: Stankova-West '02, Jelínek '07

There are 3 (shape-Wilf) equivalence classes:

 $231 \sim 312 \qquad < \qquad 123 \sim 321 \sim 213 \qquad < \qquad 132$ 

Past Work:

- $123 \sim 321 \sim 213$ 
  - Backelin-West-Xin '01, Krattenthaler '06, Jelínek '07

- Enumerated by noncrossing Dyck paths
- $231 \sim 312$ 
  - Original proofs: Stankova-West '02, Jelínek '07
  - Not enumerated

There are 3 (shape-Wilf) equivalence classes:

 $231 \sim 312 \qquad < \qquad 123 \sim 321 \sim 213 \qquad < \qquad 132$ 

Past Work:

- $123 \sim 321 \sim 213$ 
  - Backelin-West-Xin '01, Krattenthaler '06, Jelínek '07

- Enumerated by noncrossing Dyck paths
- $231 \sim 312$ 
  - Original proofs: Stankova-West '02, Jelínek '07
  - Not enumerated
- Relative ordering
  - Stankova '06

Our Work:

There are 3 (shape-Wilf) equivalence classes:

 $231 \sim 312 \qquad < \qquad 123 \sim 321 \sim 213 \qquad < \qquad 132$ 

Past Work:

- $123 \sim 321 \sim 213$ 
  - Backelin-West-Xin '01, Krattenthaler '06, Jelínek '07
  - Enumerated by noncrossing Dyck paths
- $231 \sim 312$ 
  - Original proofs: Stankova-West '02, Jelínek '07
  - Not enumerated
- Relative ordering
  - Stankova '06

Our Work:

• New proof that 231  $\sim$  312 (Bloom-Saracino '11)

There are 3 (shape-Wilf) equivalence classes:

 $231 \sim 312 \qquad < \qquad 123 \sim 321 \sim 213 \qquad < \qquad 132$ 

Past Work:

- $123 \sim 321 \sim 213$ 
  - Backelin-West-Xin '01, Krattenthaler '06, Jelínek '07
  - Enumerated by noncrossing Dyck paths
- $231 \sim 312$ 
  - Original proofs: Stankova-West '02, Jelínek '07
  - Not enumerated
- Relative ordering
  - Stankova '06

Our Work:

- New proof that 231  $\sim$  312 (Bloom-Saracino '11)
  - Previous proofs: nonbijective and complicated

There are 3 (shape-Wilf) equivalence classes:

 $231 \sim 312 \qquad < \qquad 123 \sim 321 \sim 213 \qquad < \qquad 132$ 

Past Work:

- $123 \sim 321 \sim 213$ 
  - Backelin-West-Xin '01, Krattenthaler '06, Jelínek '07
  - Enumerated by noncrossing Dyck paths
- $231 \sim 312$ 
  - Original proofs: Stankova-West '02, Jelínek '07
  - Not enumerated
- Relative ordering
  - Stankova '06

Our Work:

- New proof that 231  $\sim$  312 (Bloom-Saracino '11)
  - Previous proofs: nonbijective and complicated

- Our proof: Bijective and (we think) simple

There are 3 (shape-Wilf) equivalence classes:

 $231 \sim 312 \qquad < \qquad 123 \sim 321 \sim 213 \qquad < \qquad 132$ 

Past Work:

- $123 \sim 321 \sim 213$ 
  - Backelin-West-Xin '01, Krattenthaler '06, Jelínek '07
  - Enumerated by noncrossing Dyck paths
- $231 \sim 312$ 
  - Original proofs: Stankova-West '02, Jelínek '07
  - Not enumerated
- Relative ordering
  - Stankova '06

Our Work:

- New proof that 231  $\sim$  312 (Bloom-Saracino '11)
  - Previous proofs: nonbijective and complicated

- Our proof: Bijective and (we think) simple
- Yields enumerative results

## Our Proof that 231 $\sim$ 312

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

## Our Proof that $231\sim312$



 $\mathcal{R}_F(231)$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Our Proof that  $231\sim312$ 



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $\mathcal{R}_F(231)$


 $\mathcal{R}_F(231)$ 

















◆□> ◆□> ◆注> ◆注> □注□



Defining Properties of  $\mathcal{L}_F(231)$ 





#### Defining Properties of $\mathcal{L}_F(231)$

- Monotonicity:
  - +1/0 Horizontal Step & -1/0 Vertical Step



#### Defining Properties of $\mathcal{L}_F(231)$

- Monotonicity:
  - +1/0 Horizontal Step & -1/0 Vertical Step
- Zero Condition:
  - All zeros are along the main diagonal (red line)



#### Defining Properties of $\mathcal{L}_F(231)$

- Monotonicity:
  - +1/0 Horizontal Step & -1/0 Vertical Step
- Zero Condition:
  - All zeros are along the main diagonal (red line)

- Diagonal Property:
  - Upper  $\leq$  Lower

## Theorem (Bloom-Saracino '11) The mapping $\Pi : \mathcal{R}_F(231) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_F(231)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

is a bijection.

Theorem (Bloom-Saracino '11) The mapping  $\Pi : \mathcal{R}_F(231) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_F(231)$ 

is a bijection. Similarly, we have a bijection

 $\Theta: \mathcal{R}_F(312) \to \mathcal{L}_F(312),$ 

# Theorem (Bloom-Saracino '11) The mapping $\Pi : \mathcal{R}_F(231) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_F(231)$

is a bijection. Similarly, we have a bijection

$$\Theta: \mathcal{R}_F(312) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_F(312),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{F}(312) =$  the set of labelings with the **reverse** diagonal property:

 $Upper \geq Lower$ 

### Corollary (Bloom-Saracino '11)

There exists an explicit (and simple) bijection between  $\mathcal{R}_F(231)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_F(312)$ , i.e.,  $231 \sim 312$ .

### Corollary (Bloom-Saracino '11)

There exists an explicit (and simple) bijection between  $\mathcal{R}_F(231)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_F(312)$ , i.e.,  $231 \sim 312$ .

"Proof:"

 $\mathcal{R}_{F}(231) \xleftarrow{\Pi} \mathcal{L}_{F}(231) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_{F}(312) \xleftarrow{\Theta} \mathcal{R}_{F}(312)$ 

### Corollary (Bloom-Saracino '11)

There exists an explicit (and simple) bijection between  $\mathcal{R}_F(231)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_F(312)$ , i.e.,  $231 \sim 312$ .

"Proof:"

 $\mathcal{R}_{F}(231) \xleftarrow{\Pi} \mathcal{L}_{F}(231) \xleftarrow{??} \mathcal{L}_{F}(312) \xleftarrow{\Theta} \mathcal{R}_{F}(312)$ 

### Corollary (Bloom-Saracino '11)

There exists an explicit (and simple) bijection between  $\mathcal{R}_F(231)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_F(312)$ , i.e.,  $231 \sim 312$ .

"Proof:"

$$\mathcal{R}_{F}(231) \xleftarrow{\Pi} \mathcal{L}_{F}(231) \xleftarrow{??} \mathcal{L}_{F}(312) \xleftarrow{\Theta} \mathcal{R}_{F}(312)$$



### Corollary (Bloom-Saracino '11)

There exists an explicit (and simple) bijection between  $\mathcal{R}_F(231)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_F(312)$ , i.e.,  $231 \sim 312$ .

"Proof:"

$$\mathcal{R}_{F}(231) \xleftarrow{\Pi} \mathcal{L}_{F}(231) \xleftarrow{??} \mathcal{L}_{F}(312) \xleftarrow{\Theta} \mathcal{R}_{F}(312)$$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ



#### Corollary (Bloom-Saracino '11)

There exists an explicit (and simple) bijection between  $\mathcal{R}_F(231)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_F(312)$ , i.e.,  $231 \sim 312$ .

"Proof:"

$$\mathcal{R}_{F}(231) \xleftarrow{\Pi} \mathcal{L}_{F}(231) \xleftarrow{??} \mathcal{L}_{F}(312) \xleftarrow{\Theta} \mathcal{R}_{F}(312)$$



・< </li>
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Theorem (Bloom-Elizalde '13)

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} |\mathcal{R}_n(231)| z^n = \sum_{n\geq 0} |\mathcal{L}_n(231)| z^n = \frac{54z}{1+36z-(1-12z)^{3/2}}.$$

\_ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Further, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{R}_n(231)| \sim \frac{3^3}{2^5 \sqrt{\pi n^5}} 12^n.$$

Theorem (Bloom-Elizalde '13)

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} |\mathcal{R}_n(231)| z^n = \sum_{n\geq 0} |\mathcal{L}_n(231)| z^n = \frac{54z}{1+36z-(1-12z)^{3/2}}.$$

\_ .

Further, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{R}_n(231)| \sim \frac{3^3}{2^5 \sqrt{\pi n^5}} 12^n.$$

Method:

Theorem (Bloom-Elizalde '13)

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} |\mathcal{R}_n(231)| z^n = \sum_{n\geq 0} |\mathcal{L}_n(231)| z^n = \frac{54z}{1+36z-(1-12z)^{3/2}}.$$

- -

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Further, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{R}_n(231)| \sim \frac{3^3}{2^5 \sqrt{\pi n^5}} 12^n.$$

Method:

 Obtained a functional equation by applying standard Dyck path decompositions to our labeled Dyck paths.

Theorem (Bloom-Elizalde '13)

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} |\mathcal{R}_n(231)| z^n = \sum_{n\geq 0} |\mathcal{L}_n(231)| z^n = \frac{54z}{1+36z-(1-12z)^{3/2}}.$$

- -

Further, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{R}_n(231)| \sim \frac{3^3}{2^5 \sqrt{\pi n^5}} 12^n.$$

Method:

- Obtained a functional equation by applying standard Dyck path decompositions to our labeled Dyck paths.
- Solved using the **quadratic method**; due to Tutte for counting rooted planar maps.

Theorem (Bloom-Elizalde '13)

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} |\mathcal{R}_n(231)| z^n = \sum_{n\geq 0} |\mathcal{L}_n(231)| z^n = \frac{54z}{1+36z-(1-12z)^{3/2}}.$$

Further, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{R}_n(231)| \sim \frac{3^3}{2^5 \sqrt{\pi n^5}} 12^n.$$

Method:

- Obtained a functional equation by applying standard Dyck path decompositions to our labeled Dyck paths.
- Solved using the **quadratic method**; due to Tutte for counting rooted planar maps.
  - In fact the set of labelings with the monotone and diagonal properties are in bijection with rooted planar maps!

A Few Comments:

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

A Few Comments:

• The class  $231 \sim 312$  is algebraic.

A Few Comments:

- The class  $231 \sim 312$  is algebraic.
- The class 123  $\sim$  321  $\sim$  213 is D-finite but NOT algebraic.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

A Few Comments:

- The class  $231 \sim 312$  is algebraic.
- The class 123  $\sim$  321  $\sim$  213 is D-finite but NOT algebraic.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• The class 132 is....

A Connection with Perfect Matchings

A Few Comments:

- The class  $231 \sim 312$  is algebraic.
- The class  $123 \sim 321 \sim 213$  is D-finite but **NOT** algebraic.
- The class 132 is....
- A Connection with Perfect Matchings
  - The class 321  $\sim$  123  $\sim$  213 counts perfect matchings that avoid:



A Few Comments:

- The class  $231 \sim 312$  is algebraic.
- The class  $123 \sim 321 \sim 213$  is D-finite but **NOT** algebraic.
- The class 132 is....
- A Connection with Perfect Matchings
  - The class 321  $\sim$  123  $\sim$  213 counts perfect matchings that avoid:



- The class 231  $\sim$  312 counts perfect matchings that avoid:



# Counting 2314-Avoiding Permutations

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ めへの

## Counting 2314–Avoiding Permutations

We view any  $\pi \in S_n(2314)$  as a f.r.p. on a **minimal** Ferrers board.

### Counting 2314-Avoiding Permutations

We view any  $\pi \in S_n(2314)$  as a f.r.p. on a **minimal** Ferrers board. For example,  $\pi = 7165324 \in S_7(2314)$  becomes:

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()


We view any  $\pi \in S_n(2314)$  as a f.r.p. on a **minimal** Ferrers board. For example,  $\pi = 7165324 \in S_7(2314)$  becomes:



$$\in \mathcal{R}_7(231)$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

We view any  $\pi \in S_n(2314)$  as a f.r.p. on a **minimal** Ferrers board. For example,  $\pi = 7165324 \in S_7(2314)$  becomes:



We view any  $\pi \in S_n(2314)$  as a f.r.p. on a **minimal** Ferrers board. For example,  $\pi = 7165324 \in S_7(2314)$  becomes:



 $\rightarrow$  The labels rounding any peak are of the form a, a + 1, a.

We view any  $\pi \in S_n(2314)$  as a f.r.p. on a **minimal** Ferrers board. For example,  $\pi = 7165324 \in S_7(2314)$  becomes:



→ The labels rounding any peak are of the form a, a + 1, a. → We say such labeling have the **peak property**.

Lemma (Bloom-Elizalde '13) Our bijection  $\Pi : \mathcal{R}_n(231) \to \mathcal{L}_n(231)$  induces a bijection

 $\Pi^{\times}: S_n(2314) \to \mathcal{L}_n^{\times}(231),$ 

where  $\mathcal{L}_n^{\times}(231) \subset \mathcal{L}_n(231)$  with the peak property.

Lemma (Bloom-Elizalde '13) Our bijection  $\Pi : \mathcal{R}_n(231) \to \mathcal{L}_n(231)$  induces a bijection

 $\Pi^{\times}: S_n(2314) \to \mathcal{L}_n^{\times}(231),$ 

where  $\mathcal{L}_n^{\times}(231) \subset \mathcal{L}_n(231)$  with the peak property.

 $\rightarrow$  Counting  $\mathcal{L}_n^{\times}(231)$  is simply a matter of "tweaking" the method used to count  $\mathcal{L}_n(231)$ .

Lemma (Bloom-Elizalde '13) Our bijection  $\Pi : \mathcal{R}_n(231) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(231)$  induces a bijection

 $\Pi^{\times}: S_n(2314) \to \mathcal{L}_n^{\times}(231),$ 

where  $\mathcal{L}_n^{\times}(231) \subset \mathcal{L}_n(231)$  with the peak property.

 $\rightarrow$  Counting  $\mathcal{L}_n^{\times}(231)$  is simply a matter of "tweaking" the method used to count  $\mathcal{L}_n(231)$ .

Doing so we obtain Bóna's result:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} |S_n(2314)| z^n = \sum_{n\geq 0} |\mathcal{L}_n^{\times}(312)| z^n = \frac{32z}{1+20z-8z^2-(1-8z)^{3/2}}.$$